

Invariant Observables and the Individual Ergodic Theorem

Beloslav Riečan^{1,3} and Mária Jurečková^{2,3,4}

Received February 11, 2005; accepted March 31, 2005

The notion of the almost everywhere equality of observables is introduced. The limit of Cesaro means is an invariant observable with respect to this notion.

KEY WORDS: ergodic theorem; MV-algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) be a probability space, $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a measure preserving map, i.e., $T^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable random variable with the mean value $E(\xi)$. The individual ergodic theorem (Petersen, 1983; Walters, 1975) guarantees the existence of random variable $\xi^* : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ξ^* is integrable and $E(\xi^*) = E(\xi)$,
- (ii) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi \circ T^i \rightarrow \xi^*$ P -almost everywhere,
- (iii) $\xi^* \circ T = \xi^*$ P -almost everywhere.

Of course, the Kolmogorov probability theory was shown to be inadequate for quantum mechanical systems. Therefore, some other models have been developed. Probably the most known and successful quantum structure has been created by quantum logics (for recent development see Dvurečenskij and Pulmannová (2000); Riečan and Neubrunn (1997)). There are many papers concerning the individual ergodic theorem in quantum logics, (Dvurečenskij and Riečan, 1980; Harman,

¹M. Bel University, Tajovského 40, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia.

²Military Academy, Liptovský Mikuláš, Slovakia.

³Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, Bratislava, Slovakia.

⁴To whom correspondence should be addressed at Military Academy, Liptovský Mikuláš, Slovakia; e-mail: jureckova@valm.sk.

1985; Lutterová and Pulmannová, 1985; Pulmannová, 1982; Riečan, 1982; Vrábek, 1988).

In the 80s a new quantum mechanical model was suggested on the base of fuzzy sets, the so-called F-quantum spaces (Riečan and Neubrunn, 1997) and also the individual ergodic theorem was proven (Harman and Riečan, 1992). F-quantum spaces use the Zadeh connectives: maximum and minimum. Of course, the Lukasiewicz connectives have been shown to be more convenient for quantum structures. MV-algebras present an excellent algebraic generalization of the system (Cignoli *et al.*, 2000). Also probability theory on MV-algebras has been developed (for a review see Riečan and Neubrunn (1997), for recent development see Riečan and Mundici (2001)). MV-algebras of fuzzy sets present a special but very important case. The individual ergodic theorem for special case has been proved in (Riečan, 2000) and (Riečan and Neubrunn, 1997) for general MV-algebras in (Jurečková, 2000) (see also Riečan and Mundici (2001)).

In all the mentioned papers only the properties [i] and [ii] have been generalized. In this paper the notion of almost everywhere coincidence of observables in MV-algebras of fuzzy sets is introduced. Using the notion of almost everywhere coincidence of observables the property [iii] can be also generalized.

We will start with definitions of basic notions. There is given the fuzzy quantum logic

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f : \Omega \longrightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle; f \text{ is measurable}\}$$

The notion that corresponds to the notion of a random variable is an observable. An *observable* is a mapping $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that:

- [O1] $x(\mathbb{R}) = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$
- [O2] if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $x(A \cup B) = x(A) + x(B)$,
- [O3] if $A_n \nearrow A$, then $x(A_n) \nearrow x(A)$.

Instead of a probability measure considered in the Kolmogorov model a state is considered in \mathcal{F} . A *state* is a mapping $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that

- [S1] $m(1_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$,
- [S2] if $f + g \leq 1_{\mathcal{F}}$, then $m(f + g) = m(f) + m(g)$,
- [S3] if $f_n \nearrow f$, then $m(f_n) \nearrow m(f)$.

The next notion of the joint observable corresponds to the notion of the random vector in classical probability theory. Let $x, y : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be two observables. The *joint observable* of the observables x, y is a mapping $h : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ satisfying following conditions:

- [JO1] $h(\mathbb{R}^2) = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$
- [JO2] if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $h(A \cup B) = h(A) + h(B)$,
- [JO3] if $A_n \nearrow A$, then $h(A_n) \nearrow h(A)$,

$$[\text{JO4}] \quad h(C \times D) = x(C) \cdot y(D), \quad C, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The transformation $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is also replaced by a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. A mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an m -preserving transformation, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- [T1] $\tau(1_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1_{\mathcal{F}}$,
- [T2] if $f + g \leq 1_{\mathcal{F}}$, then $\tau(f + g) = \tau(f) + \tau(g)$,
- [T3] if $f_n \nearrow f$, then $\tau(f_n) \nearrow \tau(f)$,
- [T4] $\tau(f) \cdot \tau(g) = \tau(f \cdot g)$,
- [T5] $\tau(f \wedge g) = \tau(f) \wedge \tau(g)$,
- [T6] $m(\tau(f)) = m(f)$.

2. ALMOST EVERYWHERE COINCIDENCE

Definition 1. Let $y, z : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be observables and m be a state on \mathcal{F} . We say that they coincide m -almost everywhere, i.e., $y = z$ m -almost everywhere, if

$$m(h(\Delta)) = 1,$$

where $\Delta = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2; u = v\}$ and $h : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is the joint observable of y, z .

The notion of m -a.e. coincidence does not depend on the choice of the joint observable h . It follows by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *The observables y, z coincide m -almost everywhere iff*

$$m((y(-\infty, u)) \cdot (z(u, \infty))) = 0$$

and

for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$.
$$m((y(-\infty, u)) \cdot (z(u, \infty))) = 0$$

Proof: Since

$$((-\infty, u) \times (u, \infty)) \cap \Delta = \emptyset, ((u, \infty) \times (-\infty, u)) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$$

and

we obtain
$$m(h(\Delta)) = 1,$$

$$0 = m((h(-\infty, u) \times (u, \infty))) = m(y((-\infty, u)) \cdot z((u, \infty)))$$

and

$$0 = m(h((u, \infty) \times (-\infty, u))) = m((y(u, \infty)) \cdot z((-\infty, u))).$$

We have

$$\Delta = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} A_i^n \times A_i^n, \quad \text{where } A_i^n = \left(\frac{i-1}{2^n}, \frac{i}{2^n} \right).$$

Therefore

$$m(h(\Delta)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} m(y(A_i^n) \cdot z(A_i^n)).$$

Put $A_n = \bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} A_i^n \times A_i^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^c &\subset \left(\bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\left(-\infty, \frac{i-1}{2^n} \right) \times \left(\frac{i-1}{2^n}, \infty \right) \right) \right) \\ &\cup \left(\bigcup_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{i-1}{2^n}, \infty \right) \times \left(-\infty, \frac{i-1}{2^n} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $m(h(A_n^c)) = 0$, hence $m(h(A_n)) = 1$ and

$$m(h(\Delta)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(h(A_n)) = 1. \quad \square$$

3. KOLMOGOROV CONSTRUCTION

Let h_n be the joint observable of observables $x, \tau \circ x, \dots, \tau^{n-1} \circ x$. The system $\{P_n = m \circ h_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a consistent system of probability measures. By the Kolmogorov theorem there exists a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ such that

$$P(\Pi_n^{-1}(A)) = P_n(A)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Pi_n : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the projection $\Pi_n((u_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Define $\xi : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\xi((u_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = u_1; T : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by $T((u_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = (v_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, v_i = u_{i+1}$. Let $g_n : \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u_i, \quad \eta_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \xi \circ T^i, \quad y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \circ x = h_n \circ g_n^{-1}.$$

Theorem 3. *The sequence $(y_n)_n$ converges m -almost everywhere to an observable y and*

$$P\left(\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n(u) < t\right\}\right) = m(y((-\infty, t)))$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: By (Riečan and Neubrunn, 1997). □

Consider now the sequence $(\tau \circ x, \tau^2 \circ x, \tau^3 \circ x, \dots)$ and the Cesaro means

$$z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau^i \circ x = \bar{h}_n \circ g_n^{-1},$$

where \bar{h}_n is the joint observable of the observables $\tau \circ x, \tau^2 \circ x, \dots, \tau^n \circ x$.

Proposition 4. $\bar{h}_n = \tau \circ h_n, z_n = \tau \circ y_n$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) &= \tau \circ x(A_1) \cdot \tau^2 \circ x(A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^n \circ x(A_n) \\ &= \tau(x(A_1) \cdot \tau \circ x(A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^{n-1} \circ x(A_n)) \\ &= \tau \circ h_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{h}_n, \tau \circ h_n$ are σ -homomorphisms, $\bar{h}_n(C) = \tau \circ h_n(C)$ for any $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
By the definitions and the previous formula

$$z_n = \bar{h}_n \circ g_n^{-1} = \tau \circ h_n \circ g_n^{-1} = \tau \circ y_n. \quad \square$$

Proposition 5. *Put*

$$k_{n+1}(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} u_i = g_n(u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}).$$

Then $z_n = h_{n+1} \circ k_{n+1}^{-1}$.

Proof: We have

Therefore $k_{n+1} = g_n \circ \pi_n$, where $\pi_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) = (u_2, \dots, u_{n+1})$.

Of course $h_{n+1} \circ k_{n+1}^{-1} = h_{n+1} \circ \pi_n^{-1} \circ g_n^{-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1} \circ \pi_n^{-1}(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) &= h_{n+1}(\mathbb{R} \times A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) \\ &= x_1(\mathbb{R}) \cdot x_2(A_1) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{n+1}(A_n) = \bar{h}_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $h_{n+1} \circ \pi_n^{-1} = \bar{h}_n$ and

$$z_n = \bar{h}_n \circ g_n^{-1} = h_{n+1} \circ \pi_n^{-1} \circ g_n^{-1} = h_{n+1} \circ k_{n+1}^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 6. Put $\xi_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi \circ T^i = \eta_n \circ T$. Then the sequence $(z_n)_n$ converges m -almost everywhere to an observable z ,

$$P(\{u; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(u) < t\}) = m(z((-\infty, t)))$$

for all t and $z = \tau \circ y$.

Proof: By individual ergodic theorem (Riečan and Neubrunn, 1997) and Theorem 8.6.9 (Riečan and Neubrunn, 1997) there exists z , such that $z_n \rightarrow z$ and

$$P(\{u; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n(u) < t\}) = m(z((-\infty, t))).$$

Further, by Proposition 4

$$\begin{aligned} z((-\infty, t)) &= \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} z_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} \tau \left(y_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \tau \left(\bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{\infty} y_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \tau \circ y((-\infty, t)) \end{aligned}$$

for any t . Therefore $z = \tau \circ y$. □

4. P -OBSERVABLES

Definition 7. An observable x is called to be P -observable, if $x(C \cap D) \leq x(C) \cdot x(D)$ for any $C, D \in \mathcal{B}$.

Note that for any $C \in (\mathbb{R})$ there holds $x(C) = x(C) \cdot x(C)$. Therefore, if x is a P -observable, the range of x is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem 8. Let x be a P -observable and h_n be the joint observable of x , $\tau \circ x, \dots, \tau^{n-1} \circ x$. Then

$$h_n(C \cap D) \leq h_n(C) \cdot h_n(D)$$

for any $C, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof: If x is a P -observable, then $\tau \circ x, \dots, \tau^{n-1} \circ x$ are P -observables, too, and

$$\tau \circ x(C \cap D) = \tau(x(C \cap D)) \leq \tau(x(C) \cdot x(D)) = \tau \circ x(C) \cdot \tau \circ x(D).$$

Put $C = C_1 \times \dots \times C_n, D = D_1 \times \dots \times D_n$. Then $C \cap D = C_1 \cap D_1 \times \dots \times C_n \cap D_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(C \cap D) &= x(C_1 \cap D_1) \cdot \tau \circ x(C_2 \cap D_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^n \circ x(C_n \cap D_n) \\ &\leq x(C_1) \cdot x(D_1) \cdot \tau \circ x(C_2) \cdot \tau \circ x(D_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^{n-1} \circ x(C_n) \cdot \tau^{n-1} \circ \\ &\quad \times x(D_n) = x(C_1) \cdot \tau \circ x(C_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \tau^{n-1} \circ x(C_n) \cdot x(D_1) \cdot \tau \circ x(D_2) \\ &= \dots \cdot \tau^{n-1} \circ x(D_n) h_n(C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_n) \cdot h_n(D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 9. Let y be a P -observable, $z = \tau \circ y, \tau$ be an m -preserving transformation, and m be a state on \mathcal{F} . Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds:

$$m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((t, \infty))) = 0 \text{ and } m(y((t, \infty)) \cdot z((-\infty, t))) = 0.$$

Proof: Evidently

$$m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((t, \infty))) = m\left(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} z\left(\left(t + \frac{1}{n}, \infty\right)\right)\right).$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove

$$m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((s, \infty))) = 0$$

for $t < s$. Of course,

$$\begin{aligned} &m((y(-\infty, t)) \cdot z((s, \infty))) \\ &= m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot (1_{\mathcal{F}} - z((-\infty, s)))) \\ &= m(y((-\infty, t))) - m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((-\infty, s))). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$(*) \quad m(y((-\infty, t))) = P(\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta(u) < t\})$$

and we will prove

$$\begin{aligned} (**) \quad &m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((-\infty, s))) \\ &\geq P(\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta(u) < t\} \cap \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \xi(u) < s\}) \end{aligned}$$

By (*) and (**) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((s, \infty))) \\ & \leq P(\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta(u) < t\}) - P(\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta(u) < t\} \cap \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \xi(u) < s\}) \\ & = P(\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta(u) < t\} \cap \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \xi(u) \geq s\}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\eta = \xi = \eta \circ T$ P -almost everywhere by individual ergodic theorem. Hence the proof will be complete, if we prove (**). We know that

$$\begin{aligned} y((-\infty, t)) &= \bigvee_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} y_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \\ z((-\infty, s)) &= \bigvee_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigvee_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} z_m \left(\left(-\infty, s - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((-\infty, s))) \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} m \left(\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} y_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \cdot \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} z_m \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\left(-\infty, s - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left(\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} y_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \cdot \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} z_m \left(\left(-\infty, s - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= m \left(\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} h_n \circ g_n^{-1} \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \cdot \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} h_{m+1} \circ k_{m+1}^{-1} \left(\left(-\infty, s - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= m \left(\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} h_w(A_n) \cdot \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} h_w(B_m) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $w \geq k + i$, $w \geq l + j$,

$$A_n = \pi_{w,n}^{-1} \left(g_n^{-1} \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \right), B_m = \pi_{w,m+1}^{-1} \left(k_{m+1}^{-1} \left(\left(-\infty, s - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right) \right),$$

t, s, p, q being constant. By monotonicity of h_w we obtain

$$h_w(A_n) \geq h_w\left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} A_n\right), \quad n = k, \dots, k+i,$$

hence

$$\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} h_w(A_n) \geq h_w\left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} A_n\right).$$

Similarly

$$\bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} h_w(B_m) \geq h_w\left(\bigcap_{m=l}^{l+j} B_m\right).$$

By these relations and Theorem 8 we obtain

$$\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} h_w(A_n) \cdot \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} h_w(B_m) \geq h_w\left(\left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} A_n\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{m=l}^{l+j} B_m\right)\right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & m \left(\bigwedge_{n=k}^{k+i} y_n \left(\left(-\infty, t - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \cdot \bigwedge_{m=l}^{l+j} z_m \left(\left(-\infty, s - \frac{1}{q} \right) \right) \right) \\ & \geq m \left(h_w \left(\left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} A_n \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{m=l}^{l+j} B_m \right) \right) \right) \\ & = P \left(\Pi_w^{-1} \left(\left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} A_n \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{m=l}^{l+j} B_m \right) \right) \right) \\ & = P \left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) < t - \frac{1}{p} \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \bigcap_{m=l}^{l+j} \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; k_{m+1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m+1}) < s - \frac{1}{q} \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & m(y((-\infty, t)) \cdot z((-\infty, s))) \\ & \geq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P \left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{k+i} \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; g_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) < t - \frac{1}{p} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigcap_{m=l}^{l+j} \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; k_{m+1}(u_1, \dots, u_{m+1}) < s - \frac{1}{q} \right\} \\
&= P \left(\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta_n(u) < t - \frac{1}{p} \right\} \cap \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \xi_n(u) < s - \frac{1}{q} \right\} \right) \\
&= P \left(\left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \eta(u) < t \right\} \cap \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}; \xi(u) < s \right\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have proved (**) and therefore the theorem, too. □

Theorem 10. $y = z = \tau \circ y$ m -almost everywhere.

Proof: It follows by Theorem 2 and Theorem 9. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper has been supported by grant no. VEGA 1/2002/05.

REFERENCES

- Cignoli, R. L. O., D'Ottaviano, M. L., and Mundici, D. (2000). *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Dvurečenskij, A. and Pulmannová, S. (2000). *New Trends in Quantum Structures*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Dvurečenskij, A. and Riečan, B. (1980). On the individual ergodic theorem on a logic. *Comments on Mathematics, University of Carolina* **21**, 385–391.
- Harman, B. (1985). Maximal ergodic theorem on a logic. *Mathematica Slovaca* **35**, 381–386.
- Harman, B. and Riečan, B. (1992). On the individual ergodic theorem in F-quantum spaces. *Zeszyty Naukowe Akademii Ekon. W Poznaniu* **187**(seria 1), 25–30.
- Jurečková, M. (2000). A note on the individual ergodic theorem on product MV-algebras. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **39**, 757–764.
- Lutterová, F. and Pulmannová, S. (1985). On individual ergodic theorem on the Hilbert space logic. *Mathematica Slovaca* **35**, 361–371.
- Petersen, K. (1983). *Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Pulmannová, S. (1982). Individual ergodic theorem on a logic. *Mathematica Slovaca* **32**, 413–416.
- Riečan, B. (1982). Two notes on the individual ergodic theorem. In *Ergodic Theory and Related Topics*, Academic Verlag, Berlin, pp. 169–172.
- Riečan, B. (2000). On the individual ergodic theorem. In D-posets of fuzzy sets. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* **50**(125), 673–680.
- Riečan, B. and Mundici, D. (2001). Probability on MV-algebras. In *Handbook on Measure Theory*, E. Pap, ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam.

- Riečan, B. and Neubrunn, T. (1997). *Integral, Measure, and Ordering*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Vrábel, P. (1988). On the individual ergodic theorem on a logic, *Zborník PF v Nitre, Matematika* **4**, 79–85.
- Walters, P. (1975). *Ergodic Theory—Introductory Lectures*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.